UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/533,778 | 05/03/2005 | Stephan Simon | 10191/3675 | 7908 |
| 26646 KENYON & K | 7590 04/13/200 ENYON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE BROADY | | TRAN, DALENA | | |
| NEW YORK, N | NY 10004 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3664 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/533,778 | SIMON ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dalena Tran | 3664 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| | / IO OFT TO EVEIDE * MONTH! | O) OD THIDTY (OO) BAYO | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or exply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ja</u> | anuary 2009. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-26 and 29-31</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-26,29-31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | , | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | nte | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | акент Аррисация | | | | |



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--|------------|---------------------|
| 10533778 | 5/3/05 | SIMON ET AL. | 10191/3675 | |
| | | EXAMINER | | |
| KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY | | Dalena Tran | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER |
| | | | 3664 | 20090410 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 3664

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 1/26/09. Claims 12-26, and 29-31 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12-26, and 29-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Patera et al. (6691034) in view of Piccirillo et al. (5557278).

As per claim 12, Patera et al. disclose a method for determining an accident risk of a first object with at least one second object, comprising: determining the accident risk as a function of a collision probability (see columns 4-5, lines 17-7; columns 8-9, lines 65-28; and columns 10-11, lines 49-30) of the at least one second object in a predefined region, and determining the collision probability as a function of motions of the first and at least one second object (see columns 6-7, lines 46-37). Patera et al. do not disclose determining the accident risk as a function of hazard probability. However, Piccirillo et al. disclose determining the accident risk as a function of hazard probability, and determining the hazard probability as a function of motions of the first and at least one second object (see at least column 1, lines 18-21; columns 3-4, lines 20-33; column 5, lines 22-55; and columns 7-8, lines 34-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, "near accident" (column 1, lines 18-20), "possible

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778

Art Unit: 3664

conflict situations" (column 1, line 64), "potential for collision" (column 1, line 65), "reduce incidence of collision" (column 3, line 24), "determine if each moving object exceeds allowable preset limits for each surface, movement state, and surface type and status with respect to other objects" (column 4, lines 1-3), and "each cell in segment in surface of interest maybe analyzed for the existence of a potential conflict" (column 4, lines 6-10), all are implies "the accident risk", because they are determine the possible, the risk, or potential of accident. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Patera et al. by combining determining the accident risk as a function of hazard probability for monitoring the position of multiple objects, in order for determining a potential for collision between objects, to avoid accident or collision occur.

As per claim 13, Piccirillo et al. disclose an object class of the first and at least one second object are taken into account in determining the collision probability and the hazard probability (see columns 3-4, lines 61-33; column 5, lines 22-55; and columns 7-8, lines 34-53).

As per claims 14-15, Piccirillo et al. disclose the motion and the object class of the at least one second object are determined by way of a sensor suite, and the motion and the object class of the first object are retrieved from at least one data source (see columns 7-8, lines 34-53).

As per claims 16-17, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the first object is defined by at least one current position and its velocity (see column 5, lines 23-51).

As per claims 18-21, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the at least one second object is defined by at least one current position (see column 6, lines 16-45).

As per claim 22, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the first object is additionally determined by way of at least one of its first longitudinal acceleration, first transverse

Art Unit: 3664

acceleration, a first rotation angle and a first steering angle (see columns 12-13, lines 43-24; and columns 22-23, lines 22-9).

As per claim 23, Patera et al. disclose the motion of the at least one second object is additionally determined by way of its velocity relative to the first object, second longitudinal acceleration, a second transverse acceleration and a second rotation angle (see column 12, lines 9-20).

As per claims 24-25, Patera et al. disclose environmental influences and a respective driving behavior are taken into account in determining the respective motion (see column 16, lines 10-14).

As per claim 26, Patera et al. disclose at least one of an indication and at least one signal to an actuator suite are generated as a function of the accident risk (see column 8, lines 24-67; and columns 17-18, lines 59-39).

Claim 29, is a combination of claims 13-14, 16, and 18 above. Claim 30, is a combination of claims 22-23 above. Claim 31, is a combination of claims 24-26 above. Therefore, they are rejected the same as above.

Remarks

4. Applicant's argument filed on 1/26/09 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's general argument about the reason for combining references, the reason for combining reference as above, claim 12.

Also, applicant's argue about Patera et al. do not disclose hazard probability, applicant attention to claim 12 above, this is the rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a), Patera et al. reference

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778

Art Unit: 3664

Patera et al. do not disclose hazard probability. However, Piccirillo et al. was combined for determining the accident risk as a function of hazard probability as claim 12 above.

Page 5

Applicant's argue on page 7 that Piccirillo et al. do not disclose anything about accident risk or hazard probability. However, Piccirillo et al. disclose "near accident" (column 1, lines 18-20), "possible conflict situations" (column 1, line 64), "potential for collision" (column 1, line 65), "reduce incidence of collision" (column 3, line 24), "determine if each moving object exceeds allowable preset limits for each surface, movement state, and surface type and status with respect to other objects" (column 4, lines 1-3), and "each cell in segment in surface of interest maybe analyzed for the existence of a potential conflict" (column 4, lines 6-10), all are implies "the accident risk", because they are determine the possible, the risk, or potential of accident. Also, Piccirillo et al. disclose "possible hazard situations" (column 3, line 60), and "potential hazardous situation" (column 4, line 33), and also the abstract; that just a few example, however, throughout the reference, Piccirillo et al. disclose the hazard probability.

5. Therefore, the references cited still reads the claimed invention. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136 (a).

A shorten statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTHS shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778 Page 6

Art Unit: 3664

be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W (in a first week of a bi-week), and T-R (in a second week of bi-week) from 7:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778

Page 7

Art Unit: 3664